1 2	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney  RRIAN I. STRETCH (CABN 163072)
3	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division
<b>4</b> 5	W.S. WILSON LEUNG (CABN 190939) Assistant United States Attorney
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495
7	Telephone: (415) 436-6758 FAX: (415) 436-6753
8	Attorneys for the United States of America
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ) No: CR-08-0565-WHA
13	v. )
14	WALTER PALMA, NOTICE OF RELATED CASES
15	) IN A CRIMINAL ACTION
16	Defendant.
17	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ) No: S2-CR-08-0730-WHA
18	v. )
19	WALTER PALMA, et al.,
20	Defendants.
21 22	
23	The United States now gives notice to the Clerk of the Court pursuant to Criminal Local
24	Rule 8-1 that the above actions are related.
25	Under Rule 8-1, a criminal action is related to another pending criminal action when:
26	(1) both actions concern one or more of the same defendants and the same alleged events or
27	occurrences, or (2) both actions appear likely to entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by
28	different judges, or might create conflicts or unnecessary expenses if conducted before different

## Case3:08-cr-00730-WHA Document252 Filed03/13/09 Page2 of 2

judges. N.D. Cal. Crim. Local R. 8-1(b). Here, the cases meet both of these criteria. The defendant is charged in CR-08-0565-WHA with being an alien in possession of a firearm, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(5), arising from his possession of two .45-caliber handguns on or about August 11, 2008. The defendant is charged in S2-CR-08-0730-WHA with racketeering conspiracy, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d), using or possession a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c) and 2, and other racketeering offenses arising from his membership in the transnational gang La Mara Salvatrucha. Both cases are assigned to Judge Alsup, and because the guns that form the core of the first case will be part of the proof in the second case, the cases should be formally consolidated for trial to avoid unnecessary duplication of effort and resources. See N.D. Cal. Crim. Local R. 8-1(b)(1). For the reasons stated above, the United States believes that the two above-captioned

cases should be formally consolidated. See N.D. Cal. Crim. Local R. 8-1 (c)(4).

**DATED:** March 13, 2009 Respectfully submitted,

> JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney

Wilson Leung Assistant United States Attorney

14 15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28